## <u>REMARKS</u>

Favorable reconsideration of this application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 are currently pending. Claim 8 has been amended by the present amendment to overcome the §101 rejection. The amendment is supported by the originally filed specification and does not add new matter.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 8-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter; and Claims 1-7 were indicated as allowable.

As an initial matter, Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating Claims 1-7 as allowable.

With regard to the rejection of Claims 8-14 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter, Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Nevertheless, in order to further prosecution, independent Claim 8 has been amended to recite that the method of address notification uses an address notification device having a processor, which executes steps a) through d). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 8 has been amended to clearly tie the process steps to a statutory apparatus. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the rejection of Claims 8-14 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Consequently, in light of the above discussion, the outstanding grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome. The present application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

James J. Kulbask

Attorney of Record Registration No. 34,648

James Love

Registration No. 58,421

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)